

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/866,926	05/30/2001	Volker Hilarius	MERCK-2264	5145
23599 75	7590 06/24/2005		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			ANDERSON, REBECCA L	
2200 CLAREN	IDON BLVD.			
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1626	
•			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/866,926	HILARIUS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rebecca L. Anderson	1626			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION In time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>11 April 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-14 is/are with Claim(s) 16,17 and 20 is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-10,15,18,19 and 21 is/are object Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	drawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
·	The specification is objected to by the Exal					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	- ,,	, ,			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ∞ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Butter the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE No(s)/Mail Date	<i>'</i>)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-21 are currently pending in the instant application. Claims 16, 17 and 20 appear allowable over the prior art of record. Claims 11-14 are withdrawn from consideration as being for non-elected subject matter. Claims 1-10, 15, 18, 19 and 21 are objected to as containing non-elected subject matter.

Election/Restrictions

As stated in the office action mailed 7 January 2005, the elected invention for search and examination, as determined from the restriction requirement, is the products of claims 1-10 and 15-21 wherein K+ is the imidazolium cation, where the R groups are as found in claim 1 and the A- is an anion as found in claim 1.

Applicant argues that the April 2003 office action argues that the groups II and III can be related as product and process of use. However, it is noted that in the office action of January 2005, claims 11-14 were stated as being independent and distinct products from claims 1-10 and 15-21. Specifically, claim 11 is an independent and distinct product, specifically an electrochemical cell comprising a cathode, an anode, a separator and the product of claim 1. Claim 12 is an independent and distinct product, specifically a supercapacitor which comprises at least a pair of electrodes, a separator and the product of claim 1. Claim 13 is an independent and distinct product specifically an electrolyte composition with at least two components of which only one is the product of claim 1. Claim 14 is an independent and distinct product specifically an electrolyte composition with at least two components of which only one is the product of claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/866,926

Art Unit: 1626

Applicant also states that the comments in the previous office action regarding In re Ochiai are not understood. According to In re Ochia (MPEP 821.04):

Where product and process claims drawn to independent and distinct inventions are presented in the same application, applicant may be called upon under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect claims to either the product or process. See MPEP § 806.05(f) and § 806.05(h). The claims to the nonelected invention will be withdrawn from further consideration under 37 CFR 1.142. See MPEP § 809.02(c) and § 821 through § 821.03. However, if applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined.

As claims 11-14 are not drawn to processes of using or process of making exclusively to the allowable product, these claims are not rejoinable under In re Ochia.

Finally, applicant requests that the Examiner follow MPEP section 803.02 and examine the entire scope of claim 1. However, it is noted that the election of a specific compound was a further restriction requirement. It is pointed out that the restriction requirement is made under 35 U.S.C. 121. 35 U.S.C. 121 gives the Commissioner (Director) the authority to limit the examination of an application where two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed to only one invention. The examiner has indicated that more than one independent and distinct invention is claimed in this application and has restricted (limited) claimed subject matter accordingly. Thus the requirement to restrict the claims in this application is predicated on the fact that the claimed subject matter involves more than one independent and distinct invention. Nowhere do applicants argue to the contrary. Nowhere do applicants point out and give reasons why the claims do not involve independent or distinct subject matter. So, here we have claims, which involve more than one independent or distinct invention. Under 35 U.S.C. 121, the claims may be restricted and the examination limited to a restricted invention. There is no argument or evidence to the contrary. Accordingly, restriction as

Application/Control Number: 09/866,926

Art Unit: 1626

has been presented in this application is proper. Claims 1-10, 15, 18 and new claims 19 and 21 still contain non-elected subject matter, as per the restriction requirement and page 3 of the office action mailed 4/21/03 and the office action mailed 11 February 2004, these claims are still objected to as containing non-elected subject matter and are partly withdrawn.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-10, 15, 18, 19 and 21 are objected to as containing non-elected subject matter. Claims 1-10, 15,, 18, 19 and 21 drawn solely to the elected invention identified in the office action mailed 21 April 2003 and reproduced below would appear allowable over the prior art of record.

The elected invention for search and examination is the products of claims 1-10, 15, 18, 19 and 21 wherein K+ is the imidazolium cation, where the R groups are as found in claim 1 and the A- is an anion as found in claim 1.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/866,926

Art Unit: 1626

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rebecca L. Anderson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0696. Mrs. Anderson can normally be reached Monday through Friday 5:30AM to 2:00PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (571) 272-0699.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rebecca Anderson Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600

6116/05

KAMALA. SAEED, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Lamel Some

Joseph K. McKane Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600